arXiv:1510.01395v1 [math.DG] 5 Oct 2015

A GENERALIZATION OF THE GAUSS-BONNET AND HOPF-POINCARÉ THEOREMS

F. A. ARIAS AND M. MALAKHALTSEV

Abstract. We consider a locally trivial fiber bundle $\pi : E \to M$ over a compact oriented two-dimensional manifold M, and a section s of this bundle defined over $M \setminus \Sigma$, where Σ is a discrete subset of M. We call the set Σ the *set of singularities of the section* $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to E$.

We assume that the behavior of the section s at the singularities is controlled in the following way: $s(M \setminus \Sigma)$ coincides with the interior part of a surface $S \subset E$ with boundary ∂S, and ∂S is $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$. For such sections s we define an index of s at a point of Σ, which generalizes in the natural way the index of zero of a vector field, and then prove that the sum of this indices at the points of Σ can be expressed as integral over S of a 2-form constructed via a connection in E.

Then we show that the classical Hopf-Poincaré-Gauss-Bonnet formula is a partial case of our result, and consider some other applications.

53C10, 55S35

singularity of section, index of singular point, curvature, projective bundle, G-structure

1. Introduction

Let M be a 2-dimensional compact oriented manifold, and V be a vector field on M. The classical Hopf-Poincaré theorem affirms that the sum of indices of zeros of V is equal to the Euler characteristic of M:

$$\sum_{z \in Z(V)} ind_z(V) = \chi(M), \tag{1}$$

where $Z(V)$ is the set of zeros of V and $ind_z(V)$ is the index of V at z (see for example [1]). If, in addition, the manifold M is endowed by a Riemannian metric g, then the Gauss-Bonnet theorem says that the Euler characteristic is expressed in terms of the curvature K of this metric:

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K d\sigma, \tag{2}$$

where $d\sigma$ is the area form of g. Therefore, we have that

$$\sum_{z \in Z(V)} ind_z(V) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M K d\sigma, \tag{3}$$

Then this formula can be generalized for sections of a unit sphere subbundle of the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold, thus we arrive at the Euler class (see, e. g. [2], Appendix 20: Gauss-Bonnet theorem) and it also can be generalized to arbitrary sphere bundles [1].

In this paper we find a generalization of this formula to arbitrary locally trivial bundles with compact fibers over two-dimensional closed manifolds. Namely, we consider a locally trivial fiber bundle $\pi : E \to M$ over a compact oriented two-dimensional manifold M, and a section s of this

bundle defined over $M\setminus\Sigma$, where Σ is a discrete subset of M. We call the set Σ the *set of singularities of the section* $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to E$.

We assume that the behavior of the section s at the singularities is controlled in the following way: $s(M \setminus \Sigma)$ coincides with the interior part of a surface $S \subset E$ with boundary ∂S, and ∂S is $\pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ (see details in subsection 2.1). It is worth noting that this idea was used by S.-S.Chern in [3]. For such sections s we define an index of s at a point of Σ, which generalizes in the natural way the index of zero of a vector field (subsection 2.2), and then prove that the sum of these indices at the points of Σ can be expressed as integral over S of a 2-form constructed via a connection in E. Thus in Theorem 2 we obtain a generalization of the formula (3).

In Section 4 we show that the classical fact that the index of a vector field on a closed two-dimensional Riemann manifold is the integral of the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection can be obtained from our generalized version. Also in this section we consider the projective bundles and projective connections and find a relation between the index of a section of a projective bundle and the curvature of a projective connection in this bundle.

In Section 5 our results are applied to the theory of G-structures with singularities. If $\bar{P} \to M$ is a $\bar{G}$-principal bundle, which is reduced to a G-principal bundle over $M \setminus \Sigma$, where Σ is a submanifold of M, we say that $\bar{P}$ is a G-principal bundle with singular set Σ. If the $\bar{G}$-principal bundle $\bar{P}$ is a subbundle of the linear frame bundle $L(M)$ of a manifold M, we say that $\bar{P}$ is a G-structure with singularities. Almost all singularities which appear in classical differential geometry define G-structures with singularities. Some examples are: a vector field on a Riemannian n-dimensional manifold defines $SO(n-1)$-structure with singularities, a metric on an n-dimensional manifold M which degenerates along a submanifold, determines a $SO(n)$-structure with singularities on M (examples of such metrics were considered for example in [4]), 3-webs with singularities on a two-dimensional manifolds, which in particular appear in considerations of algebraic ordinary differential equations on manifolds (see, e. g., [5]) define a G-structure with singularities, where $G \subset GL(2)$ is the Lie subgroup of diagonal matrices [6], also sub-Riemannian manifolds with singularities determine the corresponding G-structure with singularities [7].

A G-principal bundle $\bar{P}$ with singularities determines a section $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to \bar{P}/G$, which is a section with singularities of the bundle $\bar{P}/G$. For the case $\dim M = 2$, we apply the results obtained in Section 2 to this situation and obtain the relation between the index of this section and the connection in the bundle $\bar{P} \to M$ (see Section 5 for details).

2. Singularity of section and its index

2.1. **Sections with singularities. Resolution of singularity.** Let M be a 2-dimensional oriented closed manifold, and $\xi = (\pi : E \to M)$ a locally trivial fiber bundle with typical fiber F and structure group G. We will assume that G is a connected Lie group. For each $x \in M$, by F_x we will denote the fiber of ξ over x, and by $i_x : F_x \to E$ the inclusion. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a discrete subset. Let us consider a section $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to E$, we will call Σ the *singular set* of the section s.

Assume that *there exist a 2-dimensional oriented compact manifold S with boundary ∂S and a map $q : S \to E$ such that the map q restricted to $\overset{\circ}{S} = S \setminus \partial S$ is a diffeomorphism between $S \setminus \partial S$ and* $s(M \setminus \Sigma)$. We will call the map q a *resolution of the singularities of the section* s.

Theorem 1. a) $\pi \circ q : S \setminus \partial S \to M \setminus \Sigma$ *is a diffeomorphism.*

b) *The map* $\pi \circ q : S \to M$ *is surjective.*

c) $\pi \circ q(\partial S) = \Sigma$.

d) *Let* $\partial S = \bigcup_{i=\overline{1,k}} \partial S_i$, *where* ∂S_i *are the boundary components. Then for any* $x \in \Sigma$, *there exist one and only one boundary component* ∂S_i *such that* $\pi^{-1}(x) = q(\partial S_i)$.

e) *For any* $x \in \Sigma$ *exists a neighborhood* U *of* x *such that*

(1) U *is diffeomorphic to a disk;*

(2) *There exists a closed set* $V \subset S$ *diffeomorphic to a ring such that the boundary of* V *consists of two connected components* C *and* C', *where the connected component* C *is a closed curve in* $S \setminus \partial S$ *and the other connected component* C' *is the connected component* ∂S_i *of the boundary* ∂S *which corresponds to* x *by d), and*

$$\pi \circ q(C) = \partial U, \quad \pi \circ q(V \setminus \partial V) = U \setminus x, \quad \pi \circ q(\partial S_i) = x \tag{4}$$

Remark 1. *In what follows we will label each point* $x \in \Sigma$ *such that* $\pi^{-1}(x) = q(\partial S_i)$ *by the corresponding index* i.

Proof. a) $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to s(M \setminus \Sigma)$ is a diffeomorphism and $\pi|_{s(M\setminus\Sigma)} : s(M \setminus \Sigma) \to M \setminus \Sigma$ is the diffeomorphism inverse to s. Therefore $\pi \circ q : S \setminus \partial S \to M \setminus \Sigma$ is a diffeomorphism

b) For each point $x \in M \setminus \Sigma$, the point $y = q^{-1}(s(x)) \in S$ has the property that $\pi \circ q(y) = x$. Let us take a point $x \in \Sigma$ and a sequence of points $\{x_n \in M \setminus \Sigma\}$ converging to x. The sequence of points $y_n = q^{-1}(s(x_n)) \in S$ has a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ because S is compact. If $y = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} y_{n_k}$, then

$$\pi \circ q(y) = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} \pi q(y_{n_k}) = \lim_{n_k \to \infty} x_{n_k} = x. \tag{5}$$

c) As $\pi \circ q$ is surjective and $\pi \circ q(S \setminus \partial S) = M \setminus \Sigma$ because $q(S \setminus \partial S) = s(M \setminus \Sigma)$, we have that $\pi \circ q(\partial S) = \Sigma$.

d) and e) For a point $x \in \Sigma$ let us take a neighborhood U diffeomorphic to an open disk such that $U_0 = U \setminus \{x\} \subset M \setminus \Sigma$. The closure $\overline{U_0} = \Gamma \sqcup U_0 \sqcup \{x\}$, where Γ is the boundary of U.

Let $V_0 = q^{-1}s(U_0)$. Then $\pi \circ q(\overline{V_0}) = \overline{U_0}$ because M and S are compact. As $\pi \circ q : S \setminus \partial S \to M \setminus \Sigma$ is a diffeomorphism, and $\Gamma \subset M \setminus \Sigma$, we have a curve $C \subset (\overline{V_0} \setminus V_0) \cap (S \setminus \partial S)$ such that $\pi \circ q(C) = \Gamma$. This means that C is a part of the boundary ∂V_0 which lies in the interior part of S. Now, by b) we know that $\pi^{-1}(x) \subset \sqcup_{i \in I} \partial S_i$, $I \subset \{1, \cdots, n\}$. Finally we have that $\overline{V_0} = C \sqcup V_0 \sqcup (\sqcup_{i \in I} \partial S_i)$, and we know that V_0 is diffeomorphic to U_0, and $C \subset S \setminus \partial S$ is diffeomorphic to Γ, that is C is diffeomorphic to a circle $\mathbb{S}^1$. Thus V_0 is an open set in S diffeomorphic to an open ring, and the boundary of V_0 consists of a closed curve in the interior part of S and some components of the boundary of S. However, this means that the boundary of V_0 contains one and only one component ∂S_i of ∂S, otherwise the fundamental group of $\pi_1(V_0) \ncong \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we get the affirmation d) and the decomposition $V = \overline{V_0} = C \sqcup V_0 \sqcup \partial S_i$ with the properties given by (4). Therefore, we have proved e). □

Remark 2. From the proof of items d) and e) of Theorem 1 it follows that, for each boundary component ∂S_i, we have a closed neighborhood V_i of ∂S_i and a diffeomorphism of manifolds with boundary $u_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1] \to V_i$ such that $u_i(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\} = \partial S_i$, $U = \pi \circ q \circ u_i(\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1])$ is a closed neighborhood of $x_i \in \Sigma$ diffeomorphic to a disk, $\pi \circ q \circ u_i(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ is the boundary of U, and $\pi \circ q \circ u_i(\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\} = x$ (see Figure 1).

Example 1. Let ξ be a unit vector bundle of $\mathbb{R}^2$, $\pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}^2$, and consider a section $s : \mathbb{R}^2 \to E$ which takes value $s(\rho, \varphi) = (\cos n\varphi, \sin n\varphi)$ with respect to the polar coordinate system on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus (0,0)$.



FIGURE 1. The surface S

The point $(0,0)$ is the singular point of this section. If we take the coordinate system (ρ,φ,ψ) on $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2\setminus(0,0))$, then $s(\rho,\varphi)=(\rho,\varphi,n\varphi)$. Now the map $u:\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,1]\to E$ is given with respect to coordinates (x,y,ψ) on $E=\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1$ by $u(\alpha,t)=((1-t)\cos\alpha,(1-t)\sin\alpha,n\alpha)$. Therefore $u(\mathbb{S}^1\times\{0\})$ is a curve consisting of helices, $u(\mathbb{S}^1\times\{1\})$ is the fiber $(0,0)\times\mathbb{S}^1\subset E$ represents the image of u.



FIGURE 2. Example 1

2.2. **Index of a point $x\in\Sigma$.** First let us first observe that $\pi:E\to M$ is trivial on the homotopy level. Let $(U,\psi:\pi^{-1}(U)\to U\times F)$ be a chart of the atlas of ξ. Let

$$\eta=p_F\circ\psi:\pi^{-1}(U)\to F, \tag{6}$$

where $p_F:U\times F\to F$ is the canonical projection onto F. For each $x\in U$ the map η restricted to $F_x=\pi^{-1}(x)$ induces a diffeomorphism $\eta_x:F_x\to F$. Note that if we take another chart $(U',\psi':\pi^{-1}(U')\to U'\times F)$, and $\eta':\pi^{-1}(U')\to F$ is the corresponding map, then on $\pi^{-1}(U\bigcap U')$ we have



FIGURE 3. Example 1

that

$$\psi' \circ \psi^{-1} : (U \cap U') \times F \to (U \cap U') \times F, \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, g(x)y), \tag{7}$$

where $g : U \cap U' \to G$ is the gluing map of the charts. Now, for any $x \in U \cap U'$, we have $\eta'_x \circ \eta_x^{-1}(y) = g(x)y$, and, as G is connected, $\eta'_x \circ \eta_x^{-1} : F \to F$ is homotopic to the identity map. This means that for any $x \in M$ we have well defined isomorphisms of the homotopy and (co)homology groups:

$$\begin{aligned} &\pi_*(\eta_x) : \pi_*(F_x) \to \pi_*(F), \\ &H_*(\eta_x) : H_*(F_x) \to H_*(F), \quad H^*(\eta_x) : H^*(F) \to H^*(F_x), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which do not depend on the chart. In particular, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(F_x) \times H^1(F_x) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1(\eta_x) \times H^1(\eta_x^{-1})} & \pi_1(F) \times H^1(F) \\ & {\scriptstyle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle} & \\ & \mathbb{R} & \end{array} \tag{9}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing through the integration. This means that, for $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(F_x)$ and $[\alpha] \in H^1(F)$, we have

$$\left\langle [\gamma], H^1(\eta_x)[\alpha] \right\rangle = \int_\gamma \eta_x^* \alpha = \int_{\eta_x \gamma} \alpha = \left\langle \pi_1(\eta_x)[\gamma], [\alpha] \right\rangle, \tag{10}$$

and this equality neither depends on the chart, nor on the choice of representatives γ or α of their equivalence classes.

Definition 1. For $x_i \in \Sigma$ the map $q \circ u_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \to E$ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{1\}$ gives a map $\gamma_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \to F_{x_i}$, and therefore an element $ind_{x_i}(s) \in \pi_1(F)$ via the isomorphism $\pi_1(\eta_x)$ (see (8)). This element $ind_{x_i}(s)$ will be called the *index of the section s at the point $x_i \in \Sigma$*.

Remark 3. The index does not depend on the choice of the maps u_i.

Example 2. The index of the section s at the point $(0,0)$ in Example 1 is equal to $n\gamma$, where γ is a generator of the group $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

Definition 2. For $a \in H^1(F)$ we define the *index* $ind_{x_i}(s;a)$ *of the section* s *at the point* $x_i \in \Sigma$ *with respect to* a as

$$ind_{x_i}(s;a) = \langle a, ind_{x_i}(s)\rangle = \int_\gamma \alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \gamma^*\alpha, \tag{11}$$

where $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \to F$ is the curve representing the element $ind_{x_i}(s) \in \pi_1(F)$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^1(F)$ is a closed form representing the element $a \in H^1(F)$. *The index of a section* s *with respect to* a is the number

$$ind(s;a) = \sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} ind_{x_i}(s;a). \tag{12}$$

Remark 4. By (11),

$$ind_{x_i}(s;a) = \int_{\gamma_i} \eta_x^*\alpha, \tag{13}$$

where $[\alpha] = a$ and γ_i is defined in Definition 1.

Example 3. Let $a = [d\phi] \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^1;\mathbb{R})$, where $d\phi$ is the angular form on $\mathbb{S}^1$, then a is a base of $H^1(\mathbb{S}^1;\mathbb{R})$. Then index of the section s at the point $(0,0)$ in Example 1 with respect to a is equal to n.

3. Connection and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

Let H be a connection in the fiber bundle E. Let us denote by V the *vertical distribution*, that is the distribution of the tangent spaces to the fibers, then we have $TE = H \oplus V$.

3.1. **Vertical cohomology class.**

Statement 1. *Let* $a \in H^1(F)$ *and* H *be a connection in* E. *There exists a* 1*-form* $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ *such that*

1. $\alpha|_H = 0$;
2. *for each* $x \in M$, $di_x^*\alpha = 0$ *and* $[i_x^*\alpha] = H^1(\eta_x)a$.

Proof. Let us take an atlas (U_i, ψ_i) of the bundle ξ, and fix a 1-form $\bar\alpha \in \Omega^1(F)$ such that $[\bar\alpha] = a$. Let ρ_i be the partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{U_i\}$, and $\eta_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \to F$ (see (6)). Then take the collection of 1-forms $\bar\alpha_i = \eta_i^*\bar\alpha \in \Omega^1(\pi^{-1}(U_i))$, and then construct $\widetilde\alpha = \sum_i \pi^*\rho_i\,\bar\alpha_i \in \Omega^1(V)$. Then

$$i_x^*\widetilde\alpha = \sum_i \rho_i(x)\, i_x^*\bar\alpha_i = \sum_i \rho_i(x)\, i_x^*\eta_i^*\bar\alpha \in \Omega^1(F_x). \tag{14}$$

Therefore,

$$di_x^*\widetilde\alpha = \sum_i \rho_i(x)\, i_x^*\eta_i^* d\bar\alpha = 0 \tag{15}$$

and

$$[i_x^*\widetilde\alpha] = \sum_i \rho_i(x)\, [i_x^*\eta_i^*\bar\alpha] = a, \tag{16}$$

because $\sum_i \rho_i(x) = 1$. We have the decomposition $TE = H \oplus V$, and therefore we can define the desired $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ by $\alpha|_H = 0$ and $\alpha|_V = \widetilde{\alpha}$. □

3.2. **Linear connection in the vertical bundle $V \to E$.** Let Δ be a distribution on a manifold B. A *partial Δ-connection* in a vector bundle $\pi : E \to B$ is given by a covariant derivative:

$$\nabla : \Delta \times \Gamma(\pi) \to \Gamma(\pi)$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{l} \nabla_{\lambda X+Y} s = \lambda \nabla_X s + \nabla_Y s, \quad \lambda \in \mathcal{F}(B), X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Delta), s \in \Gamma(\pi); \\ \nabla_X s_1 + s_2 = \nabla_X s_1 + \nabla_Y s_2; X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Delta), s_1, s_2 \in \Gamma(\pi); \\ \nabla_X f s = Xf\, s + f \nabla_X s; \quad f \in \mathcal{F}(B), X \in \mathfrak{X}(\Delta), s \in \Gamma(\pi). \end{array} \tag{17}$$

3.2.1. *Linear connection in the vector bundle $V \to E$ induced by the connection H.* . The connection H induces a partial linear H-connection ∇^H in the vector bundle $V \to E$:

$$\nabla^H_X Y = \omega([X, Y]), \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}^h(E), Y \in \mathfrak{X}^v(E) \tag{18}$$

in the following way. One can easily check that the properties (17) hold true. The geometrical sense of the partial H-connection is as follows. The connection H induces a parallel translation of the fibers of the bundle E along the paths on the base M: for $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M$, we have the diffeomorphism $\Pi_\gamma : F_{\gamma(0)} \to F_{\gamma(1)}$. Therefore, if γ^h is a horizontal lift of γ, we have the parallel translation $\Pi^H_\gamma = d\Pi|_{\gamma^h(0)} : V_{\gamma^h(0)}E \to V_{\gamma^h(1)}E$ along the curve γ^h. As Π^H is linear, from this parallel translation we get a partial linear connection ∇^H which is exactly the connection (18).

3.2.2. *Linear connection in the vector bundle $V \to E$.* Let us fix a linear partial V-connection ∇^V in the bundle $V \to E$, then the connection ∇^V induces a liner connection in each fiber. We will assume that this connection does not have torsion. Then let us consider the linear connection $\nabla = \nabla^H + \nabla^V$ in the bundle $V \to E$:

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla^H_{X^h} Y + \nabla^V_{X^v} Y, \tag{19}$$

where $X = X^h + X^v$ corresponds to the decomposition $TE = H \oplus V$, and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^v(E)$.

3.3. **Structure equations of connection.**

Statement 2. *Let $\xi = (\pi : E \to B)$ be a vector bundle of rank r over a manifold B, and ∇ be a linear connection in this bundle. Then we can extend the exterior differential $d : \Omega^q(B) \to \Omega^{q+1}(B)$ to a differential operator $D : \Omega^q(B) \otimes \Gamma(\xi) \to \Omega^{q+1}(B) \otimes \Gamma(\xi)$, where $\Gamma(\xi)$ is the module of sections of ξ so that*

$$D(\omega \otimes s) = d\omega \otimes s + (-1)^{|\omega|} \omega \wedge \nabla s. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=\overline{1,r}}$ be a local frame field of ξ over $U \subset B$. Then any $\omega \in \Omega^q(B) \otimes \Gamma(\xi)$ restricted to U can be written in the form $\omega = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha$. If we change the frame field to $\{e_{\alpha'}\}_{\alpha'=\overline{1,r}}$, then $e_{\alpha'} = A^\alpha_{\alpha'} e_\alpha$

and $\omega^{\alpha'} = A^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}\omega^{\alpha}$, where $A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}$ are functions on U. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D(\omega^{\alpha'}e_{\alpha'}) &= d\omega^{\alpha'}e_{\alpha'} + (-1)^q\omega^{\alpha'}\wedge\nabla e_{\alpha'} = d(A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}\omega^{\beta})A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}e_{\alpha} + (-1)^q\omega^{\alpha'}\wedge\nabla(A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}e_{\alpha}) \\ &= dA^{\alpha'}_{\beta}\wedge\omega^{\beta}A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}e_{\alpha} + A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}d\omega^{\beta}A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}e_{\alpha} + (-1)^q\omega^{\alpha'}\wedge dA^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}e_{\alpha} + (-1)^q\omega^{\alpha'}\wedge A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}\nabla e_{\alpha} \\ &= (A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}dA^{\alpha'}_{\beta}\wedge\omega^{\beta} + (-1)^q\omega^{\beta}\wedge A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}dA^{\alpha}_{\alpha'})e_{\alpha} + d\omega^{\alpha}e_{\alpha} + (-1)^q\omega^{\alpha}\wedge\nabla e_{\alpha} \\ &= (A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}dA^{\alpha'}_{\beta} + A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}dA^{\alpha}_{\alpha'})\wedge\omega^{\beta}e_{\alpha} + D(\omega^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}) = D(\omega^{\alpha}e_{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

because $A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}dA^{\alpha'}_{\beta} + A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}dA^{\alpha}_{\alpha'} = d(A^{\alpha}_{\alpha'}A^{\alpha'}_{\beta}) = d\,\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} = 0$. □

Remark 5. $D^2(\omega\otimes s) = \omega\wedge R(s)$, where R is the curvature tensor of the linear connection ∇.

Statement 3. *For $\omega\in\Omega^1(B)\otimes\Gamma(E)$, and $X, Y\in\mathfrak{X}(B)$,*

$$D\omega(X,Y) = \nabla_X(\omega(Y)) - \nabla_Y(\omega(X)) - \omega([X,Y]). \tag{22}$$

Proof. Let $\{e_\alpha\}$ be a local frame field of ξ, and $\omega = \omega^\alpha e_\alpha$. Then

$$\nabla_X(\omega(Y)) = \nabla_X(\omega^\alpha(Y)e_\alpha) = X(\omega^\alpha(Y))e_\alpha + \omega^\alpha(Y)\nabla_X e_\alpha, \tag{23}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_X(\omega(Y)) - \nabla_Y(\omega(X)) - \omega([X,Y]) = \\ &\quad X(\omega^\alpha(Y))e_\alpha + \omega^\alpha(Y)\nabla_X e_\alpha - Y(\omega^\alpha(X))e_\alpha - \omega^\alpha(X)\nabla_Y e_\alpha - \omega^\alpha([X,Y])e_\alpha = \\ &\qquad d\omega^\alpha(X,Y)e_\alpha - \omega^\alpha\wedge\nabla e_\alpha(X,Y) = D\omega(X,Y). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

□

Now let us assume that we have chosen the linear connection ∇ in the vector bundle $V\to E$ given by (19), and therefore we have the corresponding differential operator $D:\Omega^q(B)\otimes\mathfrak{X}^V(E)\to\Omega^{q+1}(B)\otimes\mathfrak{X}^V(E)$, where $\mathfrak{X}^V(E)$ is the Lie algebra of vertical vector fields.

Statement 4 (Structure equations)**.** *Let $\omega: TE\to V$ be the connection form of the connection H. Then*

$$D\omega = \Omega, \tag{25}$$

where $\Omega(X,Y) = D\omega(X^h, Y^h)\in\Omega^2(E)\otimes\mathfrak{X}^V(E)$ is horizontal.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $D\omega(X,Y) = 0$ if $Y\in\mathfrak{X}^v(E)$. We will apply (22). If $X\in\mathfrak{X}^h(E)$, then

$$D\omega(X,Y) = \nabla_X\omega(Y) - \nabla_Y\omega(X) - \omega([X,Y]) = \nabla_X Y - \omega([X,Y]) = 0 \tag{26}$$

by the definition of ∇ (see (18) and (19)). If $X\in\mathfrak{X}^v(E)$, then

$$D\omega(X,Y) = \nabla_X\omega(Y) - \nabla_Y\omega(X) - \omega([X,Y]) = 0 \tag{27}$$

again by the definition of ∇ and because the connection ∇^V is torsion free. □

The tensor $\Omega\in\Omega^2(E)\otimes\mathfrak{X}^V(E)$ is called the *curvature form of the connection H*.

Corollary 1. *The curvature form Ω vanishes if and only if H is integrable.*

Proof. Indeed, by Statement 3, we have that, for any horizontal vector fields X and Y,

$$D\omega(X,Y) = -\omega([X,Y]), \tag{28}$$

therefore $D\omega(X^h, Y^h) = 0$, for any X^h and Y^h if and only if the Lie bracket of horizontal vector fields is again a horizontal vector field, this is equivalent to integrability of H. □

3.4. **Structure equations of the 1-form α.** The decomposition $TE = H \oplus V$ induces the bigrading on the space of differential forms on E: $\Omega^k(E) = \oplus_{l+m=k}\Omega^{(l,m)}(E)$, and $d : \Omega^{(0,l)}(E) \to \Omega^{(0,l+1)}(E)$ because the distribution V is integrable.

Let $a \in H^1F$ and $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(V)$ is a 1-form such that $\eta^*([\widetilde{\alpha}|_{F_x}]) = a$. In Statement 1 we have constructed a 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^{(0,1)}E)$ such that $d\alpha$ vanishes on each fiber. Note that the form $\alpha(X) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(X))$ satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) of Statement 1.

Let us calculate $d\alpha$. As $X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) = \nabla_X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) + \widetilde{\alpha}(\nabla_X(\omega(Y))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha(X,Y) = X\alpha(Y) - Y\alpha(X) - \alpha([X,Y]) = X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) - Y\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(X)) - \widetilde{\alpha}(\omega([X,Y]) = \\ \nabla_X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) + \widetilde{\alpha}(\nabla_X(\omega(Y)) - \nabla_Y\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(X)) + \widetilde{\alpha}(\nabla_Y(\omega(X)) - \widetilde{\alpha}(\omega([X,Y]) = \\ \nabla_X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) - \nabla_Y\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(X)) + \widetilde{\alpha}(D\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here we use Statement 3. Let us consider the 2-form

$$P \in \Omega^2(E), \quad P(X,Y) = \nabla_X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) - \nabla_Y\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(X)) \tag{30}$$

If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^h(E)$, then $P(X,Y) = 0$. Now if $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}^v(E)$, then $P(X,Y) = d\widetilde{\alpha}(X,Y) = 0$. Therefore, the form $P \in \Omega^{(1,1)}(E)$, and for $X \in \mathfrak{X}^h(E)$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{X}^v(E)$, by (30), we get that

$$P(X,Y) = \nabla_X\widetilde{\alpha}(\omega(Y)) = X(\widetilde{\alpha}(Y)) - \widetilde{\alpha}(\nabla_X Y) = X\alpha(Y) - \alpha([X,Y]) = L_X\alpha. \tag{31}$$

Now, using Statement 3, we arrive at the following statement.

Statement 5. *The form α constructed in Statement 1 lies in $\Omega^{(0,1)}(E)$ and $d\alpha = \theta_{(1,1)} + \theta_{(2,0)}$, where $\theta_{(1,1)} \in \Omega^{(1,1)}$ and $\theta_{(2,0)} \in \Omega^{(2,0)}$, and*

$$\theta_{(1,1)}(X,Y) = (L_X\alpha)(Y), \quad \theta_{(2,0)} = \widetilde{\alpha}(\Omega). \tag{32}$$

Note that the forms $\theta_{(1,1)}$ and $\theta_{(2,0)}$ in (32) depends only on the connection H and the form α. Now we apply the Stokes theorem to the surface S and arrive at a generalization of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem:

Theorem 2. *For $a \in H^1(F)$, let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ be the corresponding vertical form constructed in Statement 1. Also let $d\alpha = \theta_{(1,1)} + \theta_{(2,0)}$ as in Statement 5. Then*

$$\int\limits_S q^*\theta_{(1,1)} + q^*\alpha(\Omega) = \sum_{i=\overline{1,k}} index_{x_i}(s;a). \tag{33}$$

Proof. By the Stokes theorem,

$$\int_S dq^*\alpha = \int_{\partial S} q^*\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k}\int_{\partial S_i} q^*\alpha. \tag{34}$$

We have that

$$\int_{\partial S_i} q^*\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1\times\{1\}} u_i^* q^*\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \gamma_i^*\alpha = \int_{\gamma_i} \alpha = ind_{x_i}(s;a), \tag{35}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{\partial S_i} q^*\alpha = ind_{x_i}(s;a). \tag{36}$$

On the other hand, by Statement 5,

$$\int_S dq^*\alpha = \int_S q^* d\alpha = \int_S q^*\theta_{(1,1)} + q^*\theta_{(2,0)}. \tag{37}$$

and thus we get the required statement. □

4. Examples

4.1. **Classical Hopf theorem.** Let (M, g) be a compact oriented two-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $E = T^1(M, g)$ the bundle of unit vectors. Then E is a local trivial bundle with structure group $SO(2)$ and typical fiber $\mathbb{S}^1$. Any $V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ defines a section $s = \frac{1}{\|V\|}V : M \setminus \Sigma \to E$, where Σ is the set of zeros of V. Assume that the set Σ is discrete. We will consider trivializations of the bundle E given by local orthonormal frames $\{e_1, e_2\}$. For each $x_i \in \Sigma$ let us consider a orientation preserving diffeomorphism $c_i : (D, 0) \to (U_i, x_i)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a disk centered at the origin 0. Let $\{e_a\}$ be an orthonormal frame field over U_i which determines a diffeomorphism $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \cong U_i \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Now let consider a map

$$t_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \to U_i, \quad (\phi, \rho) \mapsto c_i((1 - \rho)\cos\phi, (1 - \rho)\sin\phi)), \tag{38}$$

and let $\psi_i : U_i \setminus \{x_i\} \to \mathbb{S}^1$ be the local representation of the section s with respect to the given trivialization, this means that

$$s = \cos\psi_i e_1 + \sin\psi_i e_2. \tag{39}$$

Now t_i induces a diffeomorphism $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1) \to U_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ and therefore we get a map $\psi_i \circ t_i^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1) \to \mathbb{S}^1$. Now assume that the map $\psi_i \circ t_i^{-1}$ can be prolonged to a map $\Psi_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \to \mathbb{S}^1$. Under this assumption we can construct the desired compact 2-dimensional manifold S and the map $q : S \to E$ with properties (1) and (2) (see Section 2).

Remark 6. In geometric terms, our assumption means that the angle ψ of the unit vector field s with the vector field e_1 (see (39)) has limit when we approach to the point x_i by some curve, but the limit depends on the curve.

In order to construct S, first we take $S' = M \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k U_i$, this is a submanifold with boundary which consists of the curves $\Gamma_i = \partial U_i = c_i(\partial D)$, then consider the disjoint union S'' of k copies of the cylinder $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \cong \partial D \times [0, 1]$, and attach S'' to S' by the map $C : \sqcup_{i=1}^k \partial D \times 0 \to \sqcup_{i=1}^k \Gamma_i$ determined by the maps

$$c_i|_{\partial D} : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\} \cong \partial D \times \{0\} \to \Gamma_i. \tag{40}$$

Thus we get the manifold $S = S' \cup_C S''$. Now the map $q : S \to E$ is defined as follows: for each $p \in S'$, we set $q(p) = s(p)$, and for each $p \in S'' = \sqcup_{i=1}^k \partial D \times [0, 1]$, if p belongs to i-th copy of $\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, 1] \cong \partial D \times [0, 1]$, we set $q(p) = \Psi_i(p)$. From the construction it is clear that q is well defined

on $S' \cap S'' = \sqcup_{i=1,k}\Gamma_i$. Let $a \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^1)$ be the class represented by the angle form θ. The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric g defines a connection H in the bundle $E \to M$. Let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ be the 1-form constructed in Statement 1. In terms of the trivialization

$$U \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \pi^{-1}(U), \quad (q, \psi) \mapsto \cos\psi e_1(q) + \sin\psi e_2(q). \tag{41}$$

where $U \subset M$ and $\{e_1, e_2\}$ is an orthonormal frame field, the form α is written as follows:

$$\alpha = \theta + \pi^* G, \tag{42}$$

where $\nabla e_1 = Ge_2$ and $\nabla e_2 = -Ge_1$, and $G = G^2_{11}e^1 + G^2_{12}e^2$, G^k_{ij} are the connection coefficients of G with respect to the orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$.

Remark 7. If $W = \cos\psi e_1 + \sin\psi e_2$, then $\nabla W = (d\psi + G)(-\sin\psi e_1 + \cos\psi e_2) = (d\psi + G)W^\perp$, therefore $W(t) = (\gamma(t), \psi(t))$ is parallel if and only if $\frac{d}{dt}\psi + G(\frac{d}{dt}\gamma) = 0$. This means that, in terms of the trivialization, the connection form is exactly the form α given by (42). Therefore α vanishes over H (condition (1) in Statement 1). At the same α restricted to a fiber is θ, this means satisfies condition (2) in Statement 1.

Denote by $\Psi^a_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ the map $\Psi_i : \mathbb{S}^1 \times [0,1] \to \mathbb{S}^1$ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{a\}$. Then Ψ^0_i is homotopic to Ψ^1_i, therefore $\deg \Psi^0_i = \deg \Psi^1_i$. However, $\Psi^0_i = \psi_i \circ t_i$ restricted to $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \{0\}$ and its degree coincides with the degree of ψ_i restricted to $\Gamma_i = \partial U_i$, therefore the $\deg \Psi^0_i$ *is equal to the classical index* $Ind_{x_i}(s)$ *of the vector field* s *at the point* x_i. Therefore,

$$ind_{x_i}(s, a) = \int_{\gamma_i} \alpha = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (\Psi^1_i)^* \theta = \deg \Psi^1_i = \deg \Psi^0_i = Ind_{x_i}(s). \tag{43}$$

This means that *the classical index* $Ind_{x_i}(s)$ *of vector field* s *at the singular point* x_i *coincides with the index* $ind_{x_i}(s, a)$. On the other hand $d\alpha = \pi^* dG = \pi^* K e^1 \wedge e^2$, where K is the curvature of the metric g. Therefore, in Statement 5 $\theta_{(1,1)} = 0$ and $\alpha(\Omega) = \pi^*(Ke^1 \wedge e^2)$. Thus, (43) gives us

$$\int_S q^* \pi^* (Ke^1 \wedge e^2) = \sum_{i=\overline{1,k}} Ind_{x_i}(s). \tag{44}$$

At the same time, by the construction, $\pi \circ q : S \setminus \partial S \to M \setminus \Sigma$ is a diffeomorphism, Σ is a set of measure zero, and $Ke^1 \wedge e^2$ is a 2-form defined globally on M, therefore

$$\int_S q^* \pi^* (Ke^1 \wedge e^2) = \int_M Ke^1 \wedge e^2 \tag{45}$$

and we get the classical result

$$\int_M Ke^1 \wedge e^2 = \sum_{i=\overline{1,k}} Ind_{x_i}(s). \tag{46}$$

4.2. **Example: Projective bundles.**

4.2.1. *Projective bundles.*

Definition 3. A *projective bundle* is a locally trivial bundle $Q \to M$ with typical fiber $\mathbb{R}P^n$ and structure group $PGL(n)$. The number n is called the *rank* of Q.

Example 4. Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. Then the bundle $P(E)$ of 1-dimensional subspaces of the fibers of E is called the *projectivization* of E and it is projective bundle.

4.2.2. *Projective bundle as projectivization of a vector bundle.* If we have a projective bundle $Q \to M$, then can we find a vector bundle E such that $Q = P(E)$? Note that for $A \in GL(n+1)$, we have $\det(\lambda A) = \lambda^{n+1} \det A$. Now for an even n, the group $PGL(n) = GL(n+1)/A \sim \lambda A$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, is isomorphic to $SL(n+1)$ because for each equivalence class $[A] \in PGL(n)$ one can find a representative $B = (\det A)^{-1/(n+1)} A \in [A]$ such that $\det B = 1$. This B is unique because for $B, B' \in [A]$ such that $\det B = \det B' = 1$, we have that $B' = \lambda B$ and $\lambda^{n+1} = 1$, this implies that $\lambda = 1$. Therefore in this case $PGL(n) \cong SL(n+1)$. For an odd n, the group $PGL(n) = PGL_+(n) \sqcup PGL_-(n)$, where

$$PGL_+(n) = \{[A] \mid \det A > 0\}, \quad PGL_-(n) = \{[A] \mid \det A < 0\}. \tag{47}$$

By the same arguments as for case of even n we get that $PGL_+(n) \cong SL(n)/\pm I$. Note that $PGL_-(n)$ is diffeomorphic to $PGL_+(n)$. If the structure group $PGL(n)$ of a projective bundle Q reduces to $PGL_+(n)$, then Q is called *orientable*, on the contrary Q is called *no orientable*.

Example 5. If a vector bundle E is no orientable, then $P(E)$ is no orientable.

Now let consider the following situation. Let $\widetilde{G}$ be a Lie group, $Z = Z(\widetilde{G})$ be the center of $\widetilde{G}$, and $G = \widetilde{G}/Z$. So we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G} \xrightarrow{p} G \longrightarrow 0. \tag{48}$$

Let $P \to M$ be a G-principal bundle, $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a good covering of a manifold M, and $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to M$ be the transition functions of M. As the covering $\mathcal{U}$ is good, we can lift g_{ij} up to $\widetilde{g}_{ij} : U_{ij} \to \widetilde{G}$ of g_{ij}, this means that the following diagram is commutative

(49)



Consider the Cech cochain $z = \left\{ z_{ijk} : U_{ijk} \to \widetilde{G} \right\}$ over the covering $\mathcal{U}$, where

$$z_{ijk} = \widetilde{g}_{ij} \widetilde{g}_{jk} \widetilde{g}_{ki}. \tag{50}$$

As $p(z_{ijk}) = g_{ij} g_{jk} g_{ki} = 1$, the functions z_{ijk} take values in Z, therefore in fact $z \in \check{C}(\mathcal{U}; Z)$.

Statement 6. (1) *The Čech cochain z is a normalized cocycle.*

(2) *The Čech cohomology class $[z] \in \check{H}^2(M; Z)$ is trivial if and only if there exists a $\widetilde{G}$-principal bundle $\widetilde{P}$ such that $P \cong \widetilde{P}/Z$.*

Proof. Let us prove that $\{z_{ijk}\}$ is a Čhech cocycle on $\mathcal{U}$. First of all note that we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{ij} \widetilde{g}_{jk} = z_{ijk} \widetilde{g}_{ik} = \widetilde{g}_{ik} z_{ijk}. \tag{51}$$

Then

$$(\widetilde{g}_{ij} \widetilde{g}_{jk}) \widetilde{g}_{ki} = z_{ijk} \widetilde{g}_{ik} \widetilde{g}_{ki} = z_{ijk} \text{ and } \widetilde{g}_{ij} (\widetilde{g}_{jk} \widetilde{g}_{ki}) = \widetilde{g}_{ij} \widetilde{g}_{ji} z_{jki} = z_{jki}, \tag{52}$$

therefore $z_{ijk} = z_{kij} = z_{jki}$. At the same time,

$$z_{ijk}^{-1} \widetilde{g}_{ik}^{-1} = (z_{ijk} \widetilde{g}_{ik})^{-1} = (\widetilde{g}_{ij} \widetilde{g}_{jk})^{-1} = \widetilde{g}_{kj} \widetilde{g}_{ji} = z_{kji} \widetilde{g}_{ki}, \tag{53}$$

hence follows that $z_{ijk}^{-1} = z_{kji} = z_{jik}$. Moreover $z_{ijk} = 1$ if at least two indices coincide. Thus $z = \{z_{ijk}\}$ is a normalized cochain. Now we have

$$(\widetilde{g}_{ij}\widetilde{g}_{jk})(\widetilde{g}_{kj}\widetilde{g}_{jl}) = z_{ijk}\widetilde{g}_{ik}z_{kjl}\widetilde{g}_{kl} = z_{ijk}z_{kjl}z_{ikl}g_{il} \tag{54}$$

and

$$(\widetilde{g}_{ij}\widetilde{g}_{jk})(\widetilde{g}_{kj}\widetilde{g}_{jl}) = (\widetilde{g}_{ij}(\widetilde{g}_{jk}(\widetilde{g}_{kj})\widetilde{g}_{jl} = \widetilde{g}_{ij}\widetilde{g}_{jl} = z_{ijl}\widetilde{g}_{il}, \tag{55}$$

thus $z_{ijk}z_{kjl}z_{ikl} = z_{ijl}$, this implies

$$\delta z = z_{jkl}z_{ikl}^{-1}z_{ijl}z_{ijk}^{-1} = 0. \tag{56}$$

If $z = \delta u$, where $u = \{u_{ij} \in Z\}$, then

$$z_{ijk} = u_{ij}u_{jk}u_{ki}. \tag{57}$$

Therefore we can construct the $\widetilde{G}$-principal bundle $\widetilde{P} \to M$ with the transition functions $\widetilde{g}'_{ij} = \widetilde{g}_{ij}u_{ji}$ because

$$\widetilde{g}'_{ij}\widetilde{g}'_{jk}\widetilde{g}'_{ki} = \widetilde{g}_{ij}u_{ji}\widetilde{g}_{jk}u_{kj}\widetilde{g}_{ki}u_{ik} = \widetilde{g}_{ij}\widetilde{g}_{jk}\widetilde{g}_{ki}u_{ji}u_{kj}u_{ik} = z_{ijk}u_{ji}u_{kj}u_{ik} = 1. \tag{58}$$

Now we have that $p(\widetilde{g}'_{ij}) = g_{ij}$ and from the following lemma it follows that there exists a principal bundle morphism $\pi : \widetilde{P} \to P$ written with respect to the trivializations as $(x, \widetilde{g}) \to (x, p(\widetilde{g}))$.

Lemma 1. *Let G_a, $a = 1, 2$ be Lie groups. Let $\pi_a : P_a \to M$, $a = 1, 2$ be a G_a-principal bundle and $f : G_1 \to G_2$ be a Lie group homomorphism. Assume that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ is a trivializing covering for P_1 and P_2 at the same time. If the transition functions g_{ij}^a with respect to the covering $\mathcal{U}$ are related by $f(g_{ij}^1) = g_{ij}^2$, then there exists a principal fiber bundle homomorphism $F : P_1 \to P_2$ corresponding to f, i. e. $F(p_1g_1) = F(p_1)f(g_1)$.*

Proof. We define $F_i : \pi_1^{-1}(U_i) \to \pi_2^{-1}(U_i)$ by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1^{-1}(U_i) & \xrightarrow{F_i} & \pi_2^{-1}(U_i) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_i^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi_i^2} \\ U_i \times G_1 & \xrightarrow{1\times f} & U_i \times G_2 \end{array} \tag{59}$$

where ψ_a are the trivializations which are principal bundle isomorphisms, therefore $\psi_a(p_ag_a) = \psi_a(p_a)g_a$, where the action of G_a on $U_i \times G_a$ is given by $(x, g_a)g'_a = (x, g_ag'_a)$. From this follows that $F_i(p_1g_1) = F_i(p_1)g_1$. On the other hand, for $p_1 \in \pi^{-1}(U_{ij})$ we have $F_i(p_1) = F_j(p_1)$. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram for $a = 1, 2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_{ij} \times G_a & \xrightarrow{(x,g_a)\to(x,g_{ji}^a g_a)} & U_{ij} \times G_a \\ & {\scriptstyle \psi_i^a}\nwarrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle \psi_j^a} & \\ & \pi_1^{-1}(U_{ij}) & \end{array} \tag{60}$$

Let $p_1 \in \pi^{-1}(U_{ij})$ and $\psi_i^1(p_1) = (x, g_1)$, then $\psi_j^1(p_1) = (x, g_{ji}^1g_1)$. Also $\psi_i^2F_i(p_1) = (x, f(g_1))$, hence $\psi_j^2F_i(p_1) = (x, g_{ji}^2f(g_1))$. Therefore,

$$\psi_j^2F_j(p_1) = (x, f(g_{ji}^1g_1)) = (x, f(g_{ji}^1)f(g_1)) = (x, g_{ji}^2f(g_1)) = \psi_j^2F_i(p_1), \tag{61}$$

thus $F_j = F_i$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_{ij})$ and therefore $\{F_i\}$ determine a homomorphism $F : P_1 \to P_2$. □

From the construction of the bundle $\widetilde{P}$ it follows that $P = \widetilde{P}/Z$ and the principal fiber bundle homomorphism constructed in Lemma 2 is exactly the projection $\widetilde{P} \to P = \widetilde{P}/Z$. □

Let Q be a projective bundle of rank n, n is odd. Assume that Q is orientable. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a good covering of M, $U_{i_1\dots i_k} = U_{i_1} \bigcap \cdots \bigcap U_{i_k}$, and $g_{ij} : U_{ij} \to PGL(n)$ the corresponding cocycle of transition functions. As U_{ij} are contractible, we can choose the maps $\hat{g}_{ij} : U_{ij} \to SL(n+1)$ such that $p(\hat{g}_{ij}) = g_{ij}$, where $p : SL(n+1) \to PGL_+(n)$ is the canonical projection. Then $p(\hat{g}_{ij}\hat{g}_{jk}\hat{g}_{ki}) = g_{ij}g_{jk}g_{ki} = id \in PGL(n)$, therefore $e_{ijk} = \hat{g}_{ij}\hat{g}_{jk}\hat{g}_{ki}$ takes values in $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm I\}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\pm I\}$ is the center of the group $SL(n+1)$.

Corollary 2. *If $Q \to M$ is a projective bundle of odd rank n and is oriented, then the obstruction to existence of a vector bundle E such that $Q = P(E)$ is an element in $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$.*

Definition 4. Let $Q \to M$ be a projective bundle of rank n. The principal bundle $PGL(Q)$ with the group $PGL(n)$ adjoint to Q is called the *bundle of projective frames*.

Example 6. On the total space of a vector bundle $E \to M$ the group $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ acts freely by scaling, and $Q = P(E)$ is the quotient of E with respect to this action. Therefore, we have the $\mathbb{R}^*$-principal bundle $\pi : E \to Q$. Also we have the induced action of $\mathbb{R}^*$ on the total space of the linear frame bundle $GL(E)$, and the quotient space is $PGL(Q)$. The quotient map $GL(E) \to PGL(Q)$ is $\mathbb{R}^*$-principal bundle. If E is an orientable vector bundle of rank $n+1$, where n is odd, then the structure group $GL(n+1)$ of $GL(E)$ reduces to $SL(n+1)$, and in this case we have the double covering $SL(E) \to PGL(Q)$. For an orientable vector bundle E of rank $n+1$, one can take a metric g on E, therefore the $GL(n+1)$-principal bundle $GL(E)$ of linear frames reduces to a $SO(n+1)$-principal bundle of positively oriented orthonormal frames $SO(E)$. Therefore, for $Q = P(E)$, the bundle $PGL(Q)$ reduces to the subgroup $SO(n+1)/\pm I \subset PGL(n) = SL(n+1)/\pm I$.

4.2.3. *Projective connections.* Let $Q \to M$ be a projective bundle. A projective connection is a connection in $PGL(Q)$. Any linear connection in E induces a projective connection in $P(E)$. Indeed, the parallel transport preserves one-dimensional subspaces in fibers of E. At the same time the canonical projection $GL(E) \to PGL(P(E))$ is a principal bundle morphism corresponding to the morphism of groups $GL(n+1) \to PGL(n)$. Therefore, any connection in $GL(E)$ determines a unique connection in $PGL(E)$ [8](Chapter II, Proposition 1).

Statement 7. *Two linear connections ∇ and ∇' in the vector bundle E induce the same projective connection in $P(E)$ if and only if the deformation tensor $T = \nabla' - \nabla$ has the form*

$$T^a_{ib} = \xi_i \delta^a_b. \tag{62}$$

If $Q = P(E)$, where E is an oriented vector bundle, then for any projective connection in Q we can find an equi-affine connection ∇ in E with respect to a given volume form $\theta \in \Omega^{n+1}E$, i. e. $\nabla\theta = 0$, such that ∇ induces the given connection in Q. Indeed in this case $SL(E, \theta)$ covers $PGL(Q) = SL(E, \theta)/\mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \pm I$, and any connection in $PGL(P(E))$ lifts to a connection in $SL(E, \theta)$.

Statement 8. *Let E be an oriented vector bundle, and $\theta \in \Omega^{n+1}E$ be a volume form in E. Then there is one-to-one correspondence between equi-affine connections on E with respect to θ and the projective connections in $P(E)$.*

Remark 8. In terms of parallel translation this correspondence can be explained as follows. Let Γ be a projective connection in $Q = P(E)$ induced by a linear connection ∇ in E. In terms of the parallel translation this means that a section L of Q along γ is parallel with respect to the connection Γ if there exists a section s of E along γ which is parallel with respect to ∇ and generates L. This can be expressed also in terms of covariant derivative: $L = [s]$ is parallel with respect to Γ if and only if $\nabla_{\dot\gamma} s = \mu s$. Now any projective frame field $\mathcal{L} = \{L_0, L_1, \cdots, L_n\}$ in $Q = P(E)$ determines a frame field $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ in E such that $L_i = [E_i]$, and $L_0 = [E_0]$, where $E_0 = E_1 + E_2 + \cdots + E_n$, up to a scaling. If in addition we have a volume form θ, the projective frame field determines the linear frame field $\{E_i\}$ up to a sign. Then $\nabla_{\dot\gamma} E_i = \mu_i E_i$ and $\nabla_{\dot\gamma} E_0 = \mu_0 E_0$, hence follows that $\mu_0 = \mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_n$. If we assume that the linear connection ∇ is equi-affine with respect to a volume form θ, then $\nabla\theta = 0$ and the function $\mu_0 = \frac{d}{dt}\log\theta(E_1, \cdots, E_n)$.

4.3. **Section of projective bundle and reduction of the bundle of projective frames.**

Statement 9. *Let Q be a projective bundle of rank* 1. *Let $Aff(1) \subset PGL(1)$ be the subgroup of affine transformations of line. Then $Q \cong PGL(Q)/Aff(1)$ and a section of projective bundle Q is equivalent to the reduction of the bundle $PGL(Q)$ to the subgroup $Aff(1)$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the group $PGL(1)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R}P^1$, and the isotropy subgroup of a point in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ is the group $Aff(1)$. □

4.3.1. *The structure equations of the form α.*

4.3.2. *The vertical form α.* Let $E \to M$ be an oriented vector bundle and $rank E = 2$. Let $Q = P(E)$ be the corresponding projective bundle. Also let us take a projective connection Γ in Q. The typical fiber of Q is $\mathbb{R}P^1$. Let us consider the canonical projection $j : \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}P^1$, $j(v^1, v^2) = [v^1 : v^2]$, and the corresponding double covering $\bar\jmath : \mathbb{S}^1 \overset{\iota}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} \overset{j}{\to} \mathbb{R}P^1$. Let us consider the form

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(v^1)^2 + (v^2)^2}\left(-v^2 dv^1 + v^1 dv^2\right) \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}), \tag{63}$$

Then $\iota^*\theta$ is the angular form on $\mathbb{S}^1$ and there exists $\bar\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}P^1)$ such that $j^*\bar\alpha = \theta$. Then, as $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \iota^*\theta = 2\pi$, we have that $\int_{\mathbb{R}P^1} \bar\alpha = \pi$. Therefore, $\bar\alpha$ is a generator of $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^1)$. Now let us take a projective connection H is Q which is determined by an equi-affine connection $\hat H$ in E. Now we can construct the form α in $\Omega^1(Q)$ such that $\alpha|_H = 0$, $di_x\alpha = 0$, and $i_x^*\alpha$ represents the class $[\bar\alpha] \in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^1)$. Let us take a metric g in E, the volume form θ associated with g, and let ω be the connection form on E, that is the projection onto the vertical subspace parallel to $\hat H$. Now let us consider the 1-form

$$\hat\alpha_v(X) = \frac{1}{2g(v,v)}\theta(\omega(X), v) \in \Omega^1(E_0), \tag{64}$$

where $v \in E$, $E_0 = E \setminus 0(M)$, and 0 is the zero section of E. This form $\hat\alpha$ vanishes on H, and the metric g allows us to choose the trivialization of the bundle E such that the maps i_x are isomorphisms of the euclidean vector spaces (E_x, g_x) and $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the standard metric. Therefore by i_x the form $\hat\alpha$ restricted to the fibers is sent to the form (63). Now let us consider local coordinate system (x^i, y^a) on E such that $g = (y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2$, then $\theta = -y^2 dy^1 + y^1 dy^2$. Consider the local coframe dx^i, $Dy^a = dy^a + \Gamma^a_{ib} y^b dx^i$.

Lemma 2.

$$d(Dy^a) = \Gamma_{ib}^a Dy^b \wedge dx^i + R_{ijb}^a y^b dx^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{65}$$

where $R_{ijb}^a = \partial_i \Gamma_{jb}^a - \partial_j \Gamma_{ib}^a + \Gamma_{ic}^a \Gamma_{jb}^c - \Gamma_{jc}^a \Gamma_{ib}^c$ *is the curvature tensor of the linear connection in* E.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Dy^a) = d(dy^a + \Gamma_{ib}^a y^b dx^i) = d\Gamma_{ib}^a y^b \wedge dx^i + \Gamma_{ib}^a dy^b \wedge dx^i = \\ \partial_j \Gamma_{ib}^a y^b dx^j \wedge dx^i + \Gamma_{ib}^a (Dy^b - \Gamma_{jc}^b y^c dx^j) \wedge dx^i = \\ \Gamma_{ib}^a Dy^b \wedge dx^i + \left(\partial_j \Gamma_{ib}^a y^b dx^j \wedge dx^i - \Gamma_{ib}^a \Gamma_{jc}^b y^c dx^j \wedge dx^i\right) = \\ \Gamma_{ib}^a Dy^b \wedge dx^i + \left(\partial_j \Gamma_{ib}^a y^b dx^j \wedge dx^i + \Gamma_{jb}^a \Gamma_{ic}^b y^c dx^j \wedge dx^i\right) = \\ \Gamma_{ib}^a Dy^b \wedge dx^i + R_{ijb}^a y^b dx^i \wedge dx^j. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

□

The form $\hat{\alpha}$ is written with respect to this frame as follows:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2} \left(-y^2 Dy^1 + y^1 Dy^2\right). \tag{67}$$

Now let us assume that the connection is adapted to the metric g in E, in this case $\Gamma_{ib}^a = -\Gamma_{ia}^b$. Then we have

$$d((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2) = 2\left(y^1 Dy^1 + y^2 Dy^2\right), \tag{68}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} d((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2) = 2\left(y^1 dy^1 + y^2 dy^2\right) = \\ 2\left(y^1 (Dy^1 - \Gamma_{i2}^1 y^2 dx^i) + y^2 (Dy^2 - \Gamma_{i1}^2 y^1 dx^i)\right) \\ = 2\left(y^1 Dy^1 + y^2 Dy^2\right). \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Also we have that

$$\begin{aligned} dy^1 \wedge Dy^2 &= Dy^1 \wedge Dy^2 - \Gamma_{i2}^1 y^2 dx^i \wedge Dy^2, \\ y^1 dDy^2 &= \Gamma_{i1}^2 y^1 Dy^1 \wedge dx^i + R_{ij1}^2 (y^1)^2 dx^i \wedge dx^j, \\ dy^2 \wedge Dy^1 &= Dy^2 \wedge Dy^1 - \Gamma_{i1}^2 y^1 dx^i \wedge Dy^1, \\ y^2 dDy^1 &= \Gamma_{i2}^1 y^2 Dy^2 \wedge dx^i + R_{ij2}^1 (y^2)^2 dx^i \wedge dx^j, \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d(y^1 Dy^2 - y^2 Dy^1) = \\ \left(dy^1 \wedge Dy^2 + y^1 dDy^2 - dy^2 \wedge Dy^1 - y^2 dDy^1\right) = \\ 2Dy^1 \wedge Dy^2 + R_{ij1}^2 \left((y^1)^2 + (y^2)^2\right) dx^i \wedge dx^j. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Thus,

$$d\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\left[d\left(\frac{1}{(y^1)^2+(y^2)^2}\right)\wedge\left(-y^2Dy^1+y^1Dy^2\right)+\frac{1}{(y^1)^2+(y^2)^2}d\left(-y^2Dy^1+y^1Dy^2\right)\right] = \tag{72}$$

$$-\frac{1}{((y^1)^2+(y^2)^2)^2}\left(y^1Dy^1+y^2Dy^2\right)\wedge\left(-y^2Dy^1+y^1Dy^2\right)+$$

$$+\frac{1}{(y^1)^2+(y^2)^2}Dy^1\wedge Dy^2+\frac{1}{2}R_{ij1}^{\ \ 2}dx^i\wedge dx^j$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}R_{ij1}^{\ \ 2}dx^i\wedge dx^j.$$

Note that $\Omega=\pi^*(R_{ij1}^{\ \ 2}dx^i\wedge dx^j)$ is the curvature form of the connection H restricted to $\pi^{-1}(U)$, where U is the coordinate neighborhood.

Theorem 3. *Let $E\to M$ be a vector bundle, $Q=P(E)$, and $j:P(E)\to Q$.*

1. *There exist a 1-form $\alpha\in\Omega^1(Q)$ such that $\hat{\alpha}=j^*\alpha$.*
2. *$\alpha|_H=0$*
3. *$di_x\alpha=0$, and $i_x^*\alpha$ represents the class $[\bar{\alpha}]\in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^1)$.*
4. *$d\alpha=\frac{1}{2}\pi^*(\Omega)$.*

Corollary 3. *Let $E\to M$ be a vector bundle and $Q=P(E)$. Assume that g is a metric in E. Let Ω be the curvature form of the connection in Q induced by a connection in E adapted to g. Let $a\in H^1(\mathbb{R}P^1)$ is represented by the form $\bar{\alpha}$. If $s:M\setminus\Sigma\to Q$ is a section with singularities,*

$$ind(s;a)=\int_M\Omega. \tag{73}$$

5. Gauss-Bonnet Theorem in Principal G-bundles with Singularities

5.1. G-structures with singularities.

Definition 5. Let $\bar{G}$ be a Lie group, and $G\subset\bar{G}$ be a Lie subgroup of G. Let M be a manifold, and Σ be a submanifold of M. A principal $\bar{G}$-bundle $\bar{P}(M,\bar{G})$ is called a *principal G-bundle with singularities* Σ if the structure group $\bar{G}$ of $\bar{P}|_{M\setminus\Sigma}$ reduces to G.

Example 7. Let X be a vector field on a manifold M with a discrete set of zeros $\Sigma\subset M$. Then the frame bundle $L(M)$ is a principal $GL(n-1)$-bundle with singularities on M. It is clear that on $M\setminus\Sigma$ the bundle $L(M)$ reduces to the principal $GL(n-1)$-subbundle consisting of frames with the first vector equal to the value of X at the corresponding point.

Example 8. If M is a two-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold, and X is a vector field on M with a discrete set of zeros $\Sigma\subset M$, then the orthonormal frame bundle $SO(M)$ is a principal $\{e\}$-bundle with singularities where the set of singularities Σ because the vector field induced trivialization of $SO(M)$ over $M\setminus\Sigma$.

Example 9. Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. The principal $GL(n)$-bundle of frames $L(M)$ is a principal $O(n)$-bundle with an empty set of singularities.

Let us recall that there is an important relation between H-reductions of a principal G-bundle $P \to M$ and sections of the fiber bundle $P/H \to M$ which is given by the following statement.

Statement 10 ([8](Chapter I, Proposition 5.6))**.** *Let P be a G-principal bundle and let H be a Lie subgroup of G. Then G has a reduction to a principal H-bundle if and only if the associated bundle $P/H \to M$ admits a section.In particular, if $H = \{e\}$ then P has a section.*

Corollary 4. *Let $\bar{P}(M, \bar{G}, \pi)$ a principal G-bundle with singularities, and let Σ be the set of singularities. Then there is a section $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to \bar{P}/G$.*

In what follows by $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ we denote the Lie algebra of $\bar{G}$, and by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of G. Moreover, we suppose that the Lie group $\bar{G}$ is compact and connected. Then G is also compact and the quotient $\bar{G}/G$ is reductive, i. e, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ has an $ad(G)$-invariant decomposition $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

Let M be a 2-dimensional compact connected oriented manifold. Let $\bar{P}(M, \bar{G}, \bar{\pi})$ be a principal G-bundle with singularities, where the set of singularities Σ consists of isolated points. Consider the fiber bundle $\pi_E : E = \bar{P}/G \to M$. Recall that the canonical projection $\pi_G : \bar{P} \to E = \bar{P}/G$ is a principal G-bundle [8](Chapter I, Proposition 5.5), and π_G is a fiber bundle morphism:

(74)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{P} & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & E = \bar{P}/G \\ & {\scriptstyle \pi} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_E} & \\ & M & \end{array}$$

Assume that $\bar{P}$ is endowed with a connection form $\bar{\omega} : T\bar{P} \to \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let $\bar{H} = \ker \bar{\omega}$ be the horizontal distribution of the connection, and $\bar{V} = \ker d\pi$ the vertical distribution on $\bar{P}$. Note that $\bar{H}$ and $\bar{V}$ are vector subbundles of $T\bar{P}$, and $T\bar{P} = \bar{H} \oplus \bar{V}$.

Define the operator field $\widetilde{\omega} : T\bar{P} \to \bar{V}$ as follows:

(75)
$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X}) = \sigma_{\bar{p}}(\bar{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X})),$$

where $\bar{X} \in T_{\bar{p}}\bar{P}$, and $\sigma_{\bar{p}}(\bar{a})$, $\bar{a} \in \bar{g}$, is the value of the fundamental vector field $\sigma(\bar{a})$ at the point $\bar{p}$.

Lemma 3. *a) $\widetilde{\omega}$ is a field of projectors onto the vertical distribution $\bar{V}$ with kernel $\bar{H}$.*
b) $\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}\bar{g}} \circ dR_{\bar{g}}(\bar{X}) = dR_{\bar{g}} \circ \widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X})$, where $R_{\bar{g}} : \bar{G} \to \bar{G}$, $R_{\bar{g}}\bar{g}' = \bar{g}'\bar{g}$.

Proof. It is clear that $\widetilde{\omega}$ vanishes on $\bar{H}$. For $\bar{X} \in \bar{V}_{\bar{p}}$, $\bar{p} \in \bar{P}$, one can find $\bar{a} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\sigma_{\bar{p}}(\bar{a}) = \bar{X}$. Then, $\bar{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X}) = \bar{a}$, hence follows that $\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X}) = \sigma_{\bar{p}}(a) = X$. Thus we get a).

Now a) implies b) because $dR_{\bar{g}} : T_{\bar{p}}\bar{P} \to T_{\bar{p}\bar{g}}\bar{P}$ is an isomorphism, which maps $\bar{H}_{\bar{p}}$ to $\bar{H}_{\bar{p}\bar{g}}$, and $\bar{V}_{\bar{p}}$ to $\bar{V}_{\bar{p}\bar{g}}$. □

Statement 11. *a) There exists a operator field ω_E on E such that, for each $\bar{p} \in \bar{P}$, the following diagram is commutative:*

(76)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\bar{p}}\bar{P} & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\omega}} & \bar{V}_{\bar{p}} \\ {\scriptstyle d\pi_G}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle d\pi_G} \\ T_{\pi_G(p)}E & \xrightarrow{\omega_E} & VE_{\pi_G(p)} \end{array}$$

b) ω_E is a projector onto VE with kernel $HE = \ker \omega_E = d\pi_G(\bar{H})$.

c) The decomposition $T\bar{P} = \bar{H} \oplus \bar{V}$ of $T\bar{P}$ projects onto a decomposition $TE = HE \oplus VE$ of TE via $d\pi_G$.

Proof. a) If $d\pi_G(\bar{X}_{\bar{p}}) = d\pi_G(\bar{X}'_{\bar{p}'})$, then $\bar{p}' = \bar{p}g$, and $\bar{X}' = dR_g\bar{X} + \bar{Y}$, where $g \in G$ and $\bar{Y} = \sigma_{\bar{p}g}(a) \in \ker d\pi_G$ with $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Lemma 3, we have

$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}g}(\bar{X}') = \widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}g}(dR_g\bar{X} + \sigma_{\bar{p}g}(a)) = \widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}g}(dR_g\bar{X}) + \sigma_{\bar{p}g}(a) = dR_g(\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(X) + \sigma_{\bar{p}g}(a). \tag{77}$$

Then,

$$d\pi_G(\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}}(\bar{X})) = d\pi_G(\widetilde{\omega}_{\bar{p}'}(\bar{X}')), \tag{78}$$

this proves that ω_E in the diagram (76) is well defined.

b) From the diagram (76) it follows that $d\pi_G(\bar{H}) \subset HE$. For any $X \in HE_{\pi_G(\bar{p})}$, take $\bar{X} \in T_{\pi_G(\bar{p})}\bar{P}$ such that $d\pi_G(\bar{X}) = X$. Then $d\pi_G(\widetilde{\omega}(\bar{X})) = 0$, this means that $\widetilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) = \sigma_{\bar{p}}(a)$, where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\widetilde{\omega}(\bar{X}) - \sigma_{\bar{p}}(a)) = 0$, therefore $\bar{X}) - \sigma_{\bar{p}}(a) \in \bar{H}_{\bar{p}}$ and $d\pi_G(\bar{X}) - \sigma_{\bar{p}}(a) \in \bar{H}_{\bar{p}}) = d\pi_G(\bar{X}) = X$. Thus $HE \subset d\pi_G(\bar{H})$ and therefore $HE = d\pi_G(\bar{H})$.

For any $X \in VE_{\pi_G(\bar{p})}$, $X = d\pi_G(\bar{X})$, where $\bar{X} \in \bar{V}$. Then, by Lemma 3 and (76), we have that $\omega_E(X) = d\pi_G(\widetilde{\omega}(\bar{X})) = d\pi_G(\bar{X}) = X$.

c) follows from b). □

Remark 9. The bundle $E \to M$ is the bundle associated with the principal $\bar{G}$-bundle $\bar{P} \to M$ with the fiber $\bar{G}/G$ with respect to the left action of $\bar{G}$ on $\bar{G}/G$. The distribution HE is the connection induced on the associated bundle by the connection $\bar{H}$ on $\bar{P}$.

As $\bar{G}$ is compact, the homogeneous space $\bar{G}/G$ is reductive, this means that we have $ad(G)$-invariant decomposition $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 4. *The vertical subbundle VE is isomorphic to the bundle associated with the principal G-bundle $\pi_G : \bar{P} \to E$ with the fiber $\mathfrak{m}$ with respect to the left action of G on $\mathfrak{m}$: $L_g(a) = ad(g^{-1})a$.*

Proof. The isomorphism $V_E \cong \bar{P} \times_{\bar{G}} \bar{G} \times \bar{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{g} \cong \bar{P} \times_G \mathfrak{m}$ follows from the fact that $VE = \bar{P} \times_{\bar{G}} T(\bar{G}/G)$ [9](Chapter IV, section 18.18) and that $T(\bar{G}/G) = \bar{G} \times \bar{\mathfrak{g}}/\mathfrak{g}$ [10](Chapter 4, section 5). In the exact form the isomorphism is written as follows:

$$\bar{P} \times_G \mathfrak{m} \to VE, \quad [\bar{p}, a] \mapsto d\pi_G(\sigma_{\bar{p}}(a)). \tag{79}$$

□

5.2. **The Hopf-Gauss-Bonnet theorem for principal G-bundle with singularities.** Let $\bar{P} \to M$ be a principal $G \subset \bar{G}$-bundle with singularities, where $\bar{G}$ is a compact Lie group. Let us take a connection $\bar{H}$ in $\bar{P}$ with the corresponding connection form ω. Let $E = \bar{P}/G$ and H be the connection in E induced by $\bar{H}$ with the connection form ω_E (see Statement 11 and Remark 9).

Given a 1-cohomology class $a \in H^1(\bar{G}/G)$, by Statement 1 we can construct a 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ on E such that

(1) $\alpha|_H = 0$;
(2) for each $x \in M$, $di_x^*\alpha = 0$ and $[i_x^*\alpha] = H^1(\eta_x)a$.

In this case one can construct the form α explicitly. As $\bar{G}/G$ is a homogeneous space of a compact Lie group, we can take an invariant form $\xi \in \Omega^1_{inv}(\bar{G}/G) \cong \Lambda^1(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $[\xi] = a$ (see, e. g., [11], Ch.1, 1). Note that ξ is determined by its value $\xi_0 : \mathfrak{m} \to \mathbb{R}$ at $[e] \in \bar{G}/G$. Then from Lemma 4 it follows that ξ defines a form $\widetilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(VE)$:

$$\widetilde{\alpha}([\bar{p}, a]) = \xi_0(a). \tag{80}$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\omega_E : TE \to VE$ be a connection form induced by a connection in the principal $\bar{G}$-bundle $\bar{P} \to M$ (see Statement 11).*

Then

$$\alpha(X) = \tilde{\alpha}(\omega_E(X)) \tag{81}$$

The form α given by (81) *satisfies the properties (1) and (2) of Statement 1.*

Proof. For $X \in H$, $\omega_E(X) = 0$, therefore $\alpha(X) = 0$.

Recall that the trivializations of $E = P/G$ are constructed in the following way. For a good covering U_i of M we take sections $s_i : U_i \to P$, then we define the charts

$$\psi_i : U_i \times \bar{G}/G \to \pi_E^{-1}(U_i), \quad (x, [\bar{g}]) \to [s_i(x)\bar{g}] = \pi_G(s_i(x)\bar{g}). \tag{82}$$

Therefore, for $x \in U_i$, $\eta_x^{-1} : \bar{G}/G \to E_x = \pi_E^{-1}(x)$, $\eta_x^{-1}[\bar{g}] = \pi_G(s_i(x)\bar{g})$.

Now let us fix $x \in U_i \subset M$ and let $\bar{p} = s_i(x)$. For any $y \in E_x$ and $Y \in V_yE$, we have that $y = \pi_G(\bar{p}\bar{g}) = [\bar{p}, \bar{g}] = \eta_x^{-1}(\bar{g})$ and $Y = d\pi_G(\sigma_{\bar{p}\bar{g}}(a)) = [\bar{p}\bar{g}, a] = d\eta_x^{-1}(dL_{\bar{g}}a)$, where $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, and $L_{\bar{g}} : \bar{G}/G \to \bar{G}/G$, $L_{\bar{g}}\bar{g}' = \bar{g}\bar{g}'$. Note that here we use the isomorphism constructed in Lemma 4. Then

$$\alpha(Y) = \widetilde{\alpha}(\omega_E(Y)) = \widetilde{\alpha}(Y) = \xi_0(a) = \xi(dL_{\bar{g}}a). \tag{83}$$

Therefore $\alpha(d\eta_x^{-1}(dL_{\bar{g}}a)) = \xi(dL_{\bar{g}}a)$, thus $(\eta_x^{-1})^*\,\alpha|_{E_x} = \xi$, then $\eta_x^*\xi = \alpha|_{E_x}$.

Thus $d\left(\alpha|_{E_x}\right) = 0$, and $\left[\alpha|_{E_x}\right] = H^1(\eta_x)a$. □

The curvature form $\Omega_E = D\omega_E$ of the connection ω_E (see Section 3, equation (25)) can be represented by the following equality:

$$\Omega_E = 1/2[\omega_E, \omega_E], \tag{84}$$

where $[\ ,\ ] : \Omega^k(E, TE) \times \Omega^l(E, TE) \to \Omega^{k+l}(E, TE)$ is the Frolicher-Nijenhuis bracket [9](Chapter IV, section 16.3). In particular, for 1-forms ω_1 and ω_2 this bracket is given by the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}[w_1, w_2](X, Y) = {} & [\omega_1(X), \omega_2(Y)] - [\omega_1(Y), \omega_2(X)] - \omega_2([\omega_1(X), Y]) \\ & \omega_1([\omega_2(X), Y]) - [\omega_1(Y), X] - [\omega_2(Y), X] + (\omega_1 \circ \omega_2 + \omega_2 \circ \omega_1)[X, Y].\end{aligned}$$

We repeat the arguments of subsection 3.4 and get that

$$d\alpha = L_X\alpha + 1/2\tilde{\alpha}([\omega_E, \omega_E]). \tag{85}$$

Thus, by assuming the existence of the 2-dimensional oriented compact manifold S and the map $q : S \to E$ satisfying the properties given in Section 1, by Theorem 2, we obtain the following equality

$$\int_S (q^*L_X\alpha + \frac{1}{2}q^*\tilde{\alpha}([\omega_E, \omega_E])) = \sum_{i=\overline{1,k}} index_{x_i}(s, a), \tag{86}$$

where $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to E$ is the section corresponding to the reduction of $\bar{P}|_{M\setminus\Sigma}$ to G. The result given by the equation (86) will be called *the Hopf-Gauss-Bonnet theorem for principal G-bundle with singularities.*

Remark 10. If X is a vector field on M, and Σ is the set of zeros of X, then $Y = \frac{X}{|X|}$ defines a section of the unit tangent bundle $M \to T^1M$ over $M \setminus \Sigma$. Since T^1M is the associated bundle to the bundle of orthonormal frames $M \to SO(M)$ with respect of standard action of $SO(2)$ on $\mathbb{S}^1$, then $SO(M)|_{M\setminus\Sigma}$ reduces to the trivial subgroup $G = \{e\}$ of $\bar{G} = SO(2)$. Now, $\bar{G}/G = SO(2)$, and $SO(2)$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^1$, then $H^1(\bar{G}/G)$ is 1-dimensional vector space generated by the angle form on $\mathbb{S}^1$. Therefore, we can choose this generator to construct the form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(SO(M))$, and the connection form on $SO(M)$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection. This connection form is given by equation (42). Thus, if S and $q : S \to T^1M$ are the manifold and the map, respectively, constructed in section 4, the equation (86) reduces to the equation (46). Hence, Hopf-Gauss-Bonnet theorem in principal G-bundle with singularities is a generalization of the classical Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

5.3. **Hopf-Gauss-Bonnet theorem for the case of the sum of Whitney of vector bundles.** Let $E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_k$ be k vector bundles of rank 2 over a compact connected Riemannian two-dimensional manifold M, and for each i, let $s_i^0 : M \to E_i$ be the zero section of E_i. Let $\tilde{E}_i = E_i \setminus s_i^0(M)$.

For all i let us take sections $s_i : M \to E_i$. Then s_i is a section of $\tilde{E}_i$ with singularities, whose set of singularities is the set Σ_i of zeros of s_i. Thus, if we consider the product bundle $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}_1 \times \tilde{E}_2 \times \cdots \times \tilde{E}_k$ over $M \setminus \Sigma$, where $\Sigma = \cup\Sigma_i$, then the map $s = (s_1, \cdots, s_k) : M \setminus \Sigma \to \tilde{E}$ is a section with singularities of $\tilde{E}$. In addition, suppose that the section $s : M \setminus \Sigma \to \tilde{E}$ admits a resolution of singularities (see section 1). This means that there exists a 2-dimensional oriented compact manifold S with boundary $\partial S = \bigcup_{j=\overline{1,k}} S_j$, and a map $q : S \to \tilde{E}$ such that q restricted to $\overset{\circ}{S} = S \setminus \partial S$ is a diffeomorphism between $S \setminus \partial S$ and $s(M \setminus \Sigma)$.

Let us take connection forms $\omega_i : T\tilde{E}_i \to V\tilde{E}_i$ on $\tilde{E}_i$, for $i = 1, \cdots, k$, then $\omega = (\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_k)$ is a connection form on E. The curvature form of ω is $\Omega = (\Omega_1, \cdots, \Omega_k)$, where Ω_i is the curvature form of ω_i.

Since the fiber of the bundle $\tilde{E}_i$ is $F_i = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, and the cohomology class $a_i = [d\phi_i]$ represented by the angular form on F_i is a generator of $H^1(F_i)$, then the 1-form $(d\phi_1, \cdots, d\phi_k)$ represents a nonzero cohomology class $a \in H^1(F)$, where $F = F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k$ is the fiber of the bundle E. Note that F retracts by deformation to the k-torus $\mathbb{T}^k$. With this in mind, we can choose the 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$ satisfying properties (1) and (2) of Statement 1 as follows:

$$\alpha = \omega_1 + \cdots + \omega_k, \tag{87}$$

where $\omega_i = d\varphi_i + \pi^* G_i$ is the connection form of $\tilde{E}_i$ (compare with (42)).

Then $d\alpha = \pi^* dG$, where $G = \sum_{i=1}^k G_i$, and by applying Theorem 2 we obtain that

$$\int_S q^* \pi^* dG = \sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} index_{x_i}(s, a). \tag{88}$$

Let us fix an area form θ on M. Let $dG_i = K_i \theta$, and call the function K_i the *curvature of the connection* ω_i.

If we consider the points of $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma_i$ as singular points of the section s_i, then it is a section with singularities of the bundle $\tilde{E}_i \to M$. In this sense we have the following statement.

Theorem 5. *Let M be a compact connected two-dimensional manifold, let $E_i \to M$, $i = 1, \cdots, k$, are k vector bundles of rank 2 over M, let $\tilde{E}_i = E_i \setminus s_i^0(M)$, where $s_i^0 : M \to E_i$ is the zero section of E_i, and let $s_i : M \to E_i$ be a section of E_i for each i. If $\omega_1, \cdots, \omega_k$ are connections on $E_1, \cdots, E_k$*

respectively, $K_1, \cdots, K_k$ *are the curvatures of these connections, and* $s = (s_1, \cdots, s_k) : M \setminus \Sigma \to \tilde{E}$, *then*

$$\sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} \int_M K_i\theta = \sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} \sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} ind_{x_i}(s_j, [d\phi_j]), \tag{89}$$

where $d\phi_i \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *is the angular form of* $\mathbb{R}^2$, *and* θ *is the area form on* M.

Proof. Let S be an oriented 2-manifold with boundary, and let $q : S \to E$ a map which satisfies the condition given in section 1, that is, q_i restricted to $\overset{\circ}{S} = S \setminus \partial S$ is a diffeomorphism between $S \setminus \partial S$ and $s_i(M \setminus \Sigma)$. Now, because $E = \tilde{E}_1 \times \cdots \tilde{E}_k$, q has the form $q = (q_1, \cdots, q_k)$, and for each i, the map $q_i : S \to \tilde{E}_i$ also satisfies this condition. This fact follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & M \setminus \Sigma & \xrightarrow{id} & M \setminus \Sigma \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_i} \\ \overset{\circ}{S} & \xrightarrow{q} & s(M \setminus \Sigma) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}_i} & s_i(M \setminus \Sigma) \end{array} \tag{90}$$

Since the vertical arrows are diffeomorphisms, we have that q_i is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, for each $i = 1, \cdots, k$, the map $q_i = \tilde{p}_i \circ q$ is also a diffeomorphism.

Let us take the cohomology class $a = [(d\phi_1, \cdots, d\phi_k)]$ of the fiber of $\tilde{E}$, where $d\phi_i$ is the angular form on the fiber F_i of E_i, then $a = [d\phi_1] + \cdots + [d\phi_k]$. Thus, the expression at the right side of equation (86) can be written as follows

$$\sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} index_{x_i}(s, a) = \sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} \sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} ind_{x_i}(s_j, [d\phi_j]). \tag{91}$$

Furthermore, $\overset{i}{dG} = K_i\theta$, and $d\alpha = \sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} \pi^*(K_i\theta)$. Therefore, the expression at the left side of equation (86) reduces to the following equality

$$\int_S q^*\pi^* dG = \sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} \int_M K_i\theta \tag{92}$$

Hence, we obtain the following equation

$$\sum_{i=\overline{1,k}} \int_M K_i\theta = \sum_{x_i \in \Sigma} \sum_{j=\overline{1,k}} ind_{x_i}(s_j, [d\phi_j]). \tag{93}$$

□

Remark 11. Note that if $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$ is non empty, and $x \in \Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j$, then the indexes $ind_x(s_i)$ and $ind_x(s_j)$ could be different, still in the case where the vector bundles E_i and E_j are the same.

References

[1] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. Springer New York, 1982.

[2] S. Kobayashi and Nomizu K. *Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol. II*. Wiley, New York, London, 1969.

[3] Shiing-Shen Chern. A simple intrinsic proof of the gauss-bonnet formula for closed riemannian manifolds. *The Annals of Mathematics*, 45(4):747–752, oct 1944.

[4] José Ricardo Arteaga B, Mikhail Malakhaltsev, and Alexander Haimer Trejos Serna. Isometry group and geodesics of the wagner lift of a riemannian metric on two-dimensional manifold. *Lobachevskii J Math*, 33(4):293–311, oct 2012.
[5] S. I. Agafonov. On implicit odes with hexagonal web of solutions. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 19:481–508, 2009.
[6] Arias F. A., J. R. Arteaga, and M. A. Malakhaltsev. 3-webs with singularities. *Lobachevskii J. of Math*, 2015. to appear.
[7] J. R. Arteaga and M. A. Malakhaltsev. Symmetries of sub riemannian surfaces. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61:290–308, 2011.
[8] S. Kobayashi and Nomizu K. *Foundations of Differential Geometry. Vol. I.* Wiley, New York, London, 1963.
[9] Michor. *Topics in differential geometry*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
[10] Sharpe R. W. *Differential geometry*. Springer, 2000.
[11] B. A. Dubrovin, A. T. Fomenko, and S. P. Novikov. *Modern Geometry - Methods and Applications Part III. Introduction to Homology Theory*. Springer-Verlag, 1990.

Universidad de los Andes, Bogotá, Colombia
E-mail address: fa.arias44@uniandes.edu.co; mikarm@uniandes.edu.co